

THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

NOTICE OF DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

PROJECT NO. R2008-00708-(5)
ANIMAL PERMIT CASE NO. 2008-00004-(5)

Notice is hereby given that the Regional Planning Commission will hear a compliance report concerning this permit on Wednesday, May 18, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 150, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

ANIMAL PERMIT COMPLIANCE REPORT: Animal Permit (AP) 2008-0004-(5) authorized the keeping of up to 10 goats, 2 horses, 1 llama and 1 emu at the subject property. The report will present the record and status of the permittee's compliance with the conditions of the permit.

LOCATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: The subject property is located at 490 Smoketree Drive, La Verne, CA. This case does not affect the zoning of surrounding property. If you are unable to attend the hearing but wish to send written comments, please write to the Planning Commission, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

Case materials are available for review between 7:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Thursday **(closed on Fridays)** in the offices of the Department of Regional Planning, Hall of Records, Room 1348, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Selected materials are also available on the Regional Planning website at <http://planning.lacounty.gov/case.htm> and at the following location beginning **April 18, 2011.**

La Verne Library
3640 D Street
La Verne, CA 91750 (909) 596-1934

Additional information concerning this case may be obtained by telephoning **Carl Nadela** at (213) 974-6455 between 7:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Thursday. **Our offices are closed on Fridays.** Callers from North County areas may dial (661) 272-0964 (Antelope Valley) or (661) 253-0111 (Santa Clarita) toll free and then request a connection to 974-6443.

"Este es un aviso de una audiencia pública. La Comisión de Planificación Regional recibirá un reporte sobre el cumplimiento con el Permiso de Animales (AP) No. 2008-00004. El permiso autorizo el mantenimiento de 10 chivos, 2 caballos, 1 lama and 1 emú en la propiedad localizada en el 490 Smoketree Drive, La Verne, CA. El reporte presentara el nivel de cumplimiento del dueño de la propiedad con el permiso. La audiencia tendrá lugar el día 18 de Mayo del 2011 a las 9:00 de la mañana. Si necesita más información, o si quiere este aviso en Español, favor llamar al Departamento de Planificación al (213) 974-6466."

"ADA ACCOMMODATIONS: If you require reasonable accommodations or auxiliary aids and services such as material in alternate format or a sign language interpreter, please contact the ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Coordinator at (213) 974-6488 (Voice) or (213) 617-2292 (TDD), with at least three business days notice".



Los Angeles County
Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead



March 23, 2011

Richard J. Bruckner
Director

TO: Pat Modugno, Chair
Esther L. Valadez, Vice Chair
Leslie G. Bellamy, Commissioner
Harold V. Helsley, Commissioner
Curt Pedersen, Commissioner

FROM: Richard J. Bruckner
Director

RE: **REPORT FOR ANIMAL PERMIT (R2008-00708/RAP 200800004)**
490 SMOKETREE DRIVE, LA VERNE, CA

On April 20, 2010, the Board of Supervisors approved Animal Permit (AP) 200800004-(5), which authorized the keeping of up to 10 goats, 2 horses, 1 llama and 1 emu at 490 Smoketree Drive in unincorporated La Verne, CA. The above animals were authorized to be kept as pets for members of the family residing on the subject property.

As part of the approval, the Board included Condition No. 21 which instructed the Director of Regional Planning to review the permittee's compliance with the conditions of the grant and to prepare a report regarding such compliance no later than 12 months after the effective date of the grant.

The Board also instructed the Director to submit a report to the Regional Planning Commission at a regularly scheduled Commission meeting with adequate notice to all interested parties for consideration of appropriate action if the permittee fails to comply with the conditions of the grant. This report fulfills meeting Condition No. 21 of RAP 200800004.

Compliance Record

1. On June 3, 2010, Carl Nadela of my staff conducted an announced inspection accompanied by the property owner, Ms. Iris Fiorito. The inspection disclosed there were 34 goats, 2 horses 1 llama and 1 emu on the property. The goats were kept in 2 separate pens with males in one and females in the other, as required by Condition No. 18. The inspection further disclosed that only a portion of the 6 foot block wall was

constructed on the northern boundary of the property, which was not in full compliance with Condition No. 20. A small shed abutting the Northern property line was also observed, in violation of Condition No. 16, which required that structures be setback from the property line a minimum of 10 feet.

The inspector informed Ms. Fiorito that she needed to reduce the number of goats to 10, remove or setback the shed, finish building the block wall, and submit the Affidavit of Acceptance and pay the inspection fees as required by Condition Nos. 3 and 12.

2. On August 3, 2010, Mr. Nadela made a second announced inspection and observed that there was no change in compliance from the last inspection. Therefore, a Notice of Violation was issued for the following violations:

- a. The Affidavit of Acceptance has not been submitted and inspection fees have not been paid;
- b. More than 10 goats were being maintained in violation of Condition No. 14;
- c. A shed was being maintained within 10 feet of the northern property line in violation of Condition No. 16; and
- d. The wall along the northern property line required by Condition No. 20 has not been constructed.

3. On September 22, 2010, Mr. Nadela conducted an unannounced inspection. The inspection disclosed that the number of goats were reduced to 10 and were all kept in one pen. The owner reported that they were all female goats.

The owner also informed Mr. Nadela that there is an ongoing civil litigation with the neighbor on the North who owns the property located at 422 Baseline Road with Assessor's Parcel Number 8666-009-029. The litigation is concerning the boundary between their respective properties and this was preventing her from building the wall and removing the shed. The inspector noted that the property was in compliance with the rest of the remaining conditions.

4. On December 28, 2010, Mr. Nadela conducted an unannounced inspection. The inspection disclosed that there were 11 goats on the property. Ms. Fiorito explained that one goat had been pregnant without their knowledge and had just given birth. She indicated the extra goat would be removed immediately.
5. On February 15, 2011, an announced inspection was conducted with Officer Jackie Green of Animal Care and Control. Only 10 goats were found remaining on the property and

Officer Green confirmed that they were all female. The property was observed to be clean and clear of manure. The property is now in compliance with the conditions of approval except for the required wall and the removal of the shed.

Ms. Fiorito's attorney, Stephanie Tang, informed Mr. Nadela that the applicant was finalizing an agreement with the neighbors which involved applying for a Lot Line Adjustment to re-adjust the property lines. Stephanie Tang informed Mr. Nadela that she advised her clients not to take any action on the disputed area until this was finalized and was therefore asking for a temporary stay in the enforcement of Condition Nos. 16 and 20. My staff will continue to monitor the situation until full compliance is achieved. If necessary, my staff will proceed with appropriate enforcement action including referring the case to the District Attorney or County Counsel.

Conclusion

With the exception of Condition Nos. 16 and 20, the permittee is in compliance with the conditions of this grant. Due to ongoing civil litigation with a neighboring property owner, the permittee is not yet able to fully comply with Condition Nos. 16 and 20. Staff will be available to answer any questions you may have on May 11, 2011.

RJB: JRG: OAG: CN

Attachments: RAP 200800004-(5)
 Sketch Plan of property boundary dispute
 Letter from Attorney Stephanie Tang dated February 8, 2011
 Site photos

ATTACHMENTS



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN
County Counsel

April 20, 2010

TELEPHONE
(213) 974-8118
FACSIMILE
(213) 613-4751
TDD
(213) 633-0901

ADOPTED

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Agenda No. 8
05/26/09

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

#20 APRIL 20, 2010

Sachia C. Hama
SACHIA HAMA
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Re: **ANIMAL PERMIT NO. 2008-00004-(5)**
FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT/THREE-VOTE MATTER

Dear Supervisors:

Your Board previously conducted a hearing regarding the above-referenced animal permit to maintain animals as pets for personal use in excess of the number otherwise allowed under the applicable zoning located at 490 Smoketree Drive in the unincorporated community of West Claremont. At the completion of the hearing you indicated an intent to approve the proposed permit with revised conditions. Enclosed are proposed findings and conditions for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN
County Counsel

By *Keane*
PATRICIA KEANE
Deputy County Counsel
Property Division

APPROVED AND RELEASED:

John F. Krattli
JOHN F. KRATTLI
County Counsel

PK:vn
Enclosures

HOA.688184.1

**FINDINGS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AND ORDER
ANIMAL PERMIT NO. 2008-00004-(5)**

1. The Los Angeles County ("County") Board of Supervisors ("Board") conducted a duly-noticed public hearing in the matter of Animal Permit No. 2008-00004-(5) ("Animal Permit") on May 26, 2009.
2. Previously, the County Regional Planning Commission ("Commission") conducted a duly-noticed public hearing regarding the Animal Permit on November 12, 2008. The Commission continued the public hearing to December 10, 2008, at which time the Commission voted to approve a reduced number of animals than the permittee originally requested. That matter was subsequently appealed to the Board.
3. The permittees, Roberto Alguero and Iris Fiorito ("Permittee"), applied for the Animal Permit to continue to keep and maintain animals as pets or for personal use in excess of the number otherwise allowed under the applicable zoning for their property ("Site"). The Permittee had previously received approval for Animal Permit No. 2002-00162-(5), which allowed for two horses, one llama, one emu, 10 goats, four dogs, and three cats. The previous animal permit was approved on December 16, 2002, and expired by its terms on December 17, 2007. The Permittee was cited on December 17, 2007, for maintaining animals on the Site in excess of the number permitted by the previous animal permit. As part of the present Animal Permit, the Permittee originally applied for a permit to keep or maintain two horses, one llama, one emu, and 15 goats as pets or for their personal use on the Site. No request for dogs or cats was included in the present Animal Permit.
4. An animal permit is required to keep or maintain classified animals, such as goats, horses, or llamas as pets or for personal use by members of the family residing on the premises in excess of the number that would otherwise be allowed by Title 22 of the Los Angeles County Code ("County Code") pursuant to section 22.56.420. An animal permit is also required to keep or maintain, as pets or for personal use, animals that are not specifically classified, such as emus.
5. As set forth herein, the Board finds that the Animal Permit meets the burden of proof required pursuant to County Code section 22.56.450.
6. The Site is located at 490 Smoketree Drive in the unincorporated community of West Claremont in the North Claremont Zoned District.
7. The Site is approximately 33,967 square feet (0.78 acres). The main portion of the Site is zoned A-1-10,000 (Light Agriculture-10,000 Square Feet Minimum Required Lot Area) with the remainder of the Site zoned A-1-15,000 (Light Agriculture-15,000 Square Feet Minimum Required Lot Area). The Site is currently developed with a single-family residence, a storage shed, shelter

buildings for the animals, and corrals. The Animal Permit is consistent with the existing A-1-10,000 and A-1-15,000 zoning classifications.

8. The Site is designated as 1-Low Density Residential pursuant to the Countywide General Plan, which is suitable for single-family, detached housing units at densities typically ranging from one to six units per gross acre. The Animal Permit is consistent with the General Plan land use designation for the Site.
9. The property to the north of the Site is zoned A-1-15,000. The property to the east and south of the Site is located within the incorporated City of La Verne. The property to the west of the Site is zoned A-1-10,000 and A-1-15,000. The area surrounding the Site on the north, east, and west is characterized by residential development consisting of single-family residential uses on lots of various sizes. The 210 Foothill Freeway runs along the southern boundary of the Site.
10. In accordance with California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Guideline section 15301, the County determined the Project to be categorically exempt pursuant to the Class 1 categorical exemption for existing facilities, which allows, in relevant part, for the operation, repair, maintenance, and permitting of existing private structures or facilities involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the County's determination.
11. Prior to the Commission's November 12, 2008 public hearing, comments were requested from the Department of Public Health ("Public Health") and the Department of Animal Care and Control ("Animal Care and Control"). Public Health submitted a letter dated May 20, 2008, which stated that the Permittee must also obtain an Animal Keeper's Permit for the number and type of animals that they proposed to keep and maintain. Animal Care and Control submitted a letter dated June 12, 2008, stating that based on inspections, the animals appeared to be adequately housed and cared for, and that the department had not received any complaints.
12. On November 12, 2008, the Commission heard a presentation from staff as well as from the Permittee regarding the initially proposed Project requesting up to 15 goats, along with the horses, llama, and emu. The Commission also heard testimony from adjacent property owners concerned about the effect the Animal Permit would have on other property and residents in the area. The main areas of concern included odor, noise, and impacts to health due to the presence of flies. The Commission also considered the information submitted by Public Health and Animal Care and Control in the correspondence submitted prior to the public hearing.

13. During the public hearing, the Commission discussed the concerns expressed by the adjacent property owners and stated that a reduction in the number of animals from the number requested by the Permittee would alleviate such concerns. The Commission indicated that an appropriate number of animals for the Site would be the same as what was originally allowed under the previously approved Animal Permit No. 2002-00162-(5). The Commission continued the public hearing to December 10, 2008, and directed staff to prepare draft findings and conditions for the Commission's consideration at the continued hearing.
14. On December 10, 2008, the Commission heard a presentation from staff regarding the Animal Permit. The Commission closed the public hearing and concluded that the Animal Permit was categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to the Class 1 categorical exemption for existing facilities set forth in section 15301 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Commission also formally voted to approve the Animal Permit, limiting the number of animals allowed under the permit to 10 goats, two horses, one llama, and one emu. The matter was subsequently appealed to the Board.
15. The Board conducted a public hearing on the appeal on May 26, 2009. The Board heard a staff presentation as well as testimony in favor of and in opposition to the Animal Permit. Following all testimony, the Board closed the public hearing and concluded that the Animal Permit was categorically exempt pursuant to the Class 1, Existing Facilities, exemption set forth in section 15301 of the CEQA Guidelines. To ensure that the Animal Permit would not jeopardize, endanger, or otherwise constitute a menace to the public health, safety, or general welfare, and would not be materially detrimental to the use, enjoyment, or valuation of the property of other persons in the vicinity of the Site, the Board required the Permittee to comply with several additional conditions. The Board directed that the Permittee establish and maintain a 35-foot buffer area along the northern boundary of the Site to ensure adequate separation of the goats from the neighboring residences. The Board also directed that the Permittee construct a solid masonry wall along the northern property line to further preserve the neighbors' quiet enjoyment of their property. Additionally, the Board directed that the Permittee maintain the male and female goats separately on the property at all times and that the Permittee obtain all necessary permits from other County departments. The Board further directed that the Site be inspected by Regional Planning staff and that the Permittee provide access to staff to allow for such inspections.
16. The location of the documents and other materials constituting the record of proceedings upon which the Board's decision in this matter is based is the Department of Regional Planning, 13th Floor, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The custodian of such documents and materials shall be the Section Head of the Zoning Permits 1 Section, Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CONCLUDES:

1. That the Permittee has demonstrated that the requested animals at the location proposed will not jeopardize, endanger, or otherwise constitute a menace to the public health, safety, or general welfare; and
2. That the Permittee has demonstrated that the proposed site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the animals requested without material detriment to the use, enjoyment, or valuation of property of other persons located in the vicinity of the site.

THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

- A. Determines that the Project is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to the Class 1 categorical exemption for existing facilities; and
- B. Approves Animal Permit No. 2008-00004-(5).

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
ANIMAL PERMIT NO. 2008-00004-(5)**

1. This grant authorizes the keeping or maintaining as pets or for personal use of members of the family residing on the premises at 490 Smoketree Drive in the unincorporated community of West Claremont in the North Claremont Zoned District up to 10 goats, two horses, one llama, and one emu, subject to all of the following conditions of approval.
2. Unless otherwise apparent from the context, the term "permittee" shall include the applicant and any other person, corporation, or entity making use of this grant.
3. This grant shall not be effective for any purpose until the permittee, and the owner of the subject property if other than the permittee, have filed at the office of the Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning") their affidavit stating that they are aware of, and agree to accept, all of the conditions of this grant and until all required monies have been paid pursuant to Condition No. 12. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Condition No. 3 and Condition Nos. 4 and 5 shall be effective immediately upon final approval of this grant by the County.
4. The permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County, its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the County or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul this permit approval, which action is brought within the applicable time period of Government Code section 65009 or any other applicable time period. The County shall notify the permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding, and the County shall reasonably cooperate in the defense.
5. In the event that any claim, action, or proceeding as described above is filed against the County, the permittee shall within 10 days of the filing pay Regional Planning an initial deposit of \$5,000, from which actual costs shall be billed and deducted for the purpose of defraying the expenses involved in Regional Planning's cooperation in the defense, including but not limited to, depositions, testimony, and other assistance to permittee or permittee's counsel. The permittee shall also pay the following supplemental deposits, from which actual costs shall be billed and deducted:
 - a. If during the litigation process actual costs incurred reach 80 percent of the amount on deposit, the permittee shall deposit additional funds sufficient to bring the balance up to the amount of the initial deposit. There is no limit to the number of supplemental deposits that may be required prior to completion of the litigation.
 - b. At the sole discretion of the permittee, the amount of an initial or supplemental deposit may exceed the minimum amounts defined herein.

- c. The cost for collection and duplication of records and other related documents shall be paid by the permittee in accordance with Los Angeles County Code ("County Code") section 2.170.010.
6. This grant will expire unless used within two years from the date of approval. A single, one-year time extension may be requested in writing and with the appropriate fee prior to the expiration date.
7. If any material provision of this grant is held or declared to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the permit may be voidable and the privileges granted hereunder may lapse.
8. **This grant shall terminate on May 26, 2019.** Entitlement to the use of the property thereafter shall be subject to the regulations then in effect. At least six months prior to the expiration of this grant and in the event that the permittee intends to continue keeping and maintaining animals in excess of the number or of a type not otherwise allowed under the applicable zoning, a new animal permit application shall be filed with Regional Planning. The application shall be a request for a continuance of the use permitted under this grant, whether or not including modifications to the use at that time.
9. The subject property shall be developed and maintained in compliance with the provisions and requirements of Title 11 ("Health Code") and Title 22 ("Zoning Ordinance") of the County Code.
10. All structures on the subject property shall conform to the requirements of the Division of Building and Safety of the County Department of Public Works.
11. The property shall be developed and maintained in substantial conformance with the site plan that shall be placed in the file and marked as Exhibit "A."
12. The subject property shall be maintained and operated in full compliance with the conditions of this grant and any law, statute, ordinance, or other regulation applicable to any development or activity on the subject property. Failure of the permittee to cease any development or activity not in full compliance shall be a violation of these conditions. The permittee shall deposit with the County the sum of \$800. The deposit shall be placed in a performance fund, which shall be used exclusively to compensate Regional Planning for all expenses incurred while inspecting the premises to determine the permittee's compliance with the conditions of this grant. The deposit provides for four inspections that shall occur within the first year of operation after the effective date of this grant. Two of the inspections shall be announced, and two inspections shall be unannounced. The permittee shall provide unrestricted access to Regional Planning staff during the business hours of Monday through Friday, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., excluding holidays, for purposes of conducting the required inspections.

If additional inspections are required to ensure compliance with the conditions of this grant, or if any inspection discloses that the subject property is being used in violation of any of the conditions of this grant, the permittee shall be financially responsible and shall reimburse Regional Planning for all additional enforcement efforts necessary to bring the subject property into compliance. Inspections shall be made to ensure compliance with the conditions of this grant as well as adherence to development in accordance with the approved site plan on file. The amount charged for additional inspections shall be \$200 per inspection, or the current recovery cost, whichever is greater.

13. Notice is hereby given that any person violating a provision of this grant is guilty of a misdemeanor. Notice is further given that the Regional Planning Commission or a hearing officer may, after conducting a public hearing, revoke or modify this grant, if the Regional Planning Commission or hearing officer finds that these conditions have been violated or that this grant has been exercised so as to be detrimental to the public's health or safety or so as to be nuisance. In the event that the County deems it necessary to initiate such proceedings pursuant to Part 13 of Chapter 22.56 of the Zoning Ordinance, the applicant shall compensate the County for all costs incurred in such proceedings.
14. The permittee shall be limited to keeping or maintaining as pets or for personal use of members of the family residing on the premises a maximum of the following number of the following types of animals on the premises at any one time: 10 goats, two horses, one llama, and one emu. The permitted maximum number of 10 goats includes goats of all ages, including but not limited to, adults, children, and newborn goats.
15. The permittee shall pick up fecal matter from the animals on a daily basis and dispose of it properly. The permittee shall not use a drag bar or other method to mix the fecal matter into the dirt.
16. All buildings and structures shall be set back from the property line a minimum of 10 feet.
17. There shall be a buffer zone of 35 feet from the property line along the northern boundary of the subject property where the subject property adjoins the properties to the north, which contain single-family residences fronting on Baseline Road. All goats kept or maintained on the subject property shall remain outside of this buffer zone at all times.
18. The permittee shall keep male and females goats separated on the subject property at all times. No male and female goats shall be permitted to eat, board, sleep, or otherwise be in each other's company at any time.
19. The permittee shall secure any necessary permits from all applicable County departments, including, but not limited to, the Department of Animal Care and Control.

20. Within 180 days of the effective date of this grant, the permittee shall, at the permittee's sole cost and expense, construct a solid masonry wall that is six feet in height located along or abutting the entire northern boundary of the subject property. The wall shall be constructed entirely on the subject property unless the permittee obtains consent from the owners of the properties adjoining the subject property to the north. If the permittee obtains such consent, the wall may straddle the property line.
21. The Director of Regional Planning shall review the permittee's compliance with the conditions of this grant and prepare a report regarding such compliance no later than 12 months after the effective date of this grant. The Director shall submit the report to the Regional Planning Commission at a regularly scheduled Commission meeting with adequate notice to all interested parties for consideration of appropriate action if the permittee fails to comply with the conditions of this grant.

LAW OFFICES OF
HENNELLY & GROSSFELD LLP
4640 ADMIRALTY WAY, SUITE 850
MARINA DEL REY, CALIFORNIA 90292
TELEPHONE (310) 305-2100
FACSIMILE (310) 305-2116

STEPHANIE W. TANG
E-MAIL: stang@hgla.com

SACRAMENTO OFFICE
11335 GOLD EXPRESS DRIVE, SUITE 145
GOLD RIVER, CA 95670
TELEPHONE: (916) 638-8600
FACSIMILE: (916) 638-8770

February 8, 2011

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Carl Nadela, AICP
Planner
Zoning Enforcement III Section
Department of Regional Planning
320 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Orozco, et al. v. Alguero, et al.
Case No.: BC412675 (LASC – Central District)
Property: 490 Smoketree Drive, LaVerne, California 91750
Clients: Roberto Alguero and Iris Fiorito
Claim No.: 345746
Our File No.: F1003.202

Dear Mr. Nadela:

Our office represents Roberto Alguero and Iris Fiorito in connection with the above-identified lawsuit by their neighbors, Carlos Orozco and Marielena Orozco (collectively as “the Orozcos”), with respect to an alleged encroachment of a portion of my clients’ property located at 490 Smoketree Drive, La Verne, California, onto the Orozcos’ property located at 422 Baseline Road, La Verne, California.

The parties have reached a settlement whereby the Orozcos agree to a lot line adjustment with respect to the southerly and westerly boundary lines of the Orozcos’ property in exchange for certain payment by my clients. With the completion of a lot line adjustment, the cement block wall, chain link fences and other structures (i.e., portions of the fish ponds, filters and storage shed) located near my clients’ northerly boundary line of their property will no longer encroach onto the Orozcos’ property.

My office is currently working on drafting all of the necessary settlement documents to be reviewed and approved by all parties and the Orozcos’ counsel. There is a hearing regarding the

HENNELLY & GROSSFELD LLP

Carl Nadela

Re: Orozco, et al. v. Alguero, et al.

February 8, 2011

Page 2

settlement status scheduled in this matter on February 24, 2011, and the parties intend to finalize and execute the settlement agreement prior to that date.

In order to finalize the settlement with the Orozcos, it is imperative that Mr. Alguero and Ms. Fiorito do not move or replace any encroaching wall, fence or structures until all parties have executed the settlement agreement. Also, our office and clients will not be able to proceed with the lot line adjustment process until all parties have executed the settlement agreement.

Hence, our office respectfully requests that Department of Regional Planning to postpone its request and order to Mr. Alguero and Ms. Fiorito to replace the chain link fence and to move their storage shed located near their northerly boundary line of their property until all parties have executed the settlement agreement, which should be completed and finalized by the next hearing date of February 24, 2011.

Please contact our office if you require additional information concerning the above-identified lawsuit or the settlement thereof. Thank you.

Very truly yours,



STEPHANIE W. TANG

SWT/kw

